

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 TIMOTHY H. JOHNSON,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 DANA MARKS, *et al.*,

12 Defendants.
13

3:23-cv-00361-ART-CSD

ORDER

Re: ECF No. 106

14 Before the court is Plaintiff's Motion to Complete Service on Newly Discovered Defendants
15 by U.S. Marshal. (ECF No. 106.)

16 On April 8, 2025, the court granted Plaintiff leave to file a third amended complaint, which
17 added new Defendants. (ECF Nos. 100, 101.) Plaintiff subsequently filed a motion for clarification,
18 asking "whether or not counsel for the Defendants newly identified in the third amended complaint
19 accepts service on their behalf or not." (ECF No. 103.)
20


21 On May 1, 2025, the court entered its order granting Plaintiff's motion for clarification and
22 directed the process for service. (ECF No. 104.)

23 Based on the above, Plaintiff's Motion to Complete Service on Newly Discovered Defendants
24 by U.S. Marshal (ECF No. 106) is **DENIED** without prejudice. Once the Attorney General's Office
25 files its notice advising the court and Plaintiff of the names of the Defendants for whom it does and
26
27

1 does not accept service, the court will direct the U.S. Marshal to proceed with service of any
2 Defendant(s) for whom the Attorney General's Office does not accept service.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4 DATED: May 6, 2025.

5
6 
7 _____
8 Craig S. Denney
9 United States Magistrate Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27